UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/578,101	08/10/2006	Yvon Gourhant	127905	4688
25944 OLIFF & BERI	7590 11/10/200 RIDGE, PLC	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 3208	350	BAIG, ADNAN		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320-4850			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2461	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/10/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/578,101	GOURHANT ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
ADNAN BAIG	2461		

	7 E TO TO THE	2401
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 26 October 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 (periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, which places the with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.070 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount a shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee nally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	pliance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be	filed within two months of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NO	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a	tter form for appeal by materially red	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	llowable if submitted in a separate, t	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		I be entered and an explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>		
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attached.
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered bu  See Continuation Sheet.		condition for allowance because:
<ul> <li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s).</li> <li>13. ☐ Other:</li> </ul>	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	
/Huy D Vu/		
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2461		

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Kennedy teaches a change of state notification to nodes within the network based on a process switch message. Based on Kennedy using routing protocols for maintaining routes from a source node to a destination node, one of ordinary skill in the art can imply that there must be a previous registration between the nodes. Kennedy in fact does not disclose extracting routing information using the change of state notification with which the application has been previously registered. Haugli discloses extracting and forwarding routing information which depends on an instantaneous operational state of the terminal. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that the receiving terminal must had been previously registered with the forwarding terminal in order to receive the extracted information. Furthermore Haugli teaches transmitting control messages containing characteristic information that are necessary for said nearby terminals to communicate with the originating terminal. The Office Action on Pg. 4 cites in Para [0019] that extracting routing information contained within incoming data messages have a destination address and identification code in order for the receiving terminal to receive the extracted information. Furthermore the teachings of Goddard does discloses layer seven switching which is beneficial to quality of service request scheduling. It is well known that layer 7 of the OSI model is the application layer. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention for forwarding the routing information extracted by the notification means to an application.